





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
POSITION OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/881,235		06/14/2001	Akira Enokihara	5077-000055	7554
27572	7590	05/07/2003			
HARNESS	, DICKE	Y & PIERCE, P.L	. EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303				TAKAOKA, DEAN O	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2817	
				DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/881,235	ENOKIHARA ET AL.					
navious notion	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dean O Takaoka	2817					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 23 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (' condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ivoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): <u>Claims 7-9 under 35 US</u>	SC 112 2 nd paragraph.					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:	DEAT AL/AII						
Claim(s) objected to:	BESI AVAII	ABLE COPY					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on 23 April 2	2003 is a) $⊠$ approved or b) $□$	disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	-					
0. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet							
	Supervi	sovy Prient Examiner notogy Canter 23(1)					
Patent and Trademark Office	IEGIII	Ining! Oction -c					

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/881,235

Application No.

Amendment (B) has been entered in part. With respect to claims 7-9, previously rejected under 35 USC 112 second prargraph, the Amendment has overcome the rejections and will be entered with respect to those claims. With respect to claims 1, 11 - 16 will not be entered as the Amendment does not reduce or simplify the issues to make the claims in better form for appeal.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY